INTRODUCTION

OSWALDO CHATEAUBRIAND

Department of Philosophy Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro Rua Marquês de São Vicente, 225, Gávea 22453-900 RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ BRAZIL

oswaldo@fil.puc-rio.br

I was very flattered by Michael Wrigley's suggestion to edit a thematic issue of Manuscrito dedicated to my book Logical Forms. His idea was to promote in Brazil a certain kind of discussion that is common in the US and in other countries but that is not so common among us. We put together a list of people who could be asked to contribute and the project was on. Michael's untimely death last year was a great shock to all of his friends and colleagues. Although pursuing this project was a minor concern by comparison, I was glad that Marco Ruffino as new general editor of Manuscrito decided to go ahead with it and that Jairo José da Silva agreed to serve as editor of the volume in Michael's place. I am very grateful to both of them and to all the colleagues and friends who wrote comments on various aspects of my book. It is an honor to which I tried to correspond by writing detailed responses to their questions and criticisms. I did not address their praise, but needless to say I am very pleased by their expressions of appreciation for my work.

I wrote my replies individually and tried to make each of them self-contained in relation to the paper to which I was replying.

Manuscrito - Rev. Int. Fil., Campinas, v. 27, n. 1, p. 9-11, jan.-jun. 2004.

Inevitably though, some subjects came up in several of the papers and I did not want to repeat the same detailed explanations. What I did at the end was to add cross-references among the replies while avoiding making one reply depend for its intelligibility on another.

Throughout the replies I referred to Part I of *Logical Forms* as 'my book' or 'the book' and page references without any other indication are either references to it or to the paper to which I am replying, with the context determining which is which. In some of the replies I also referred to my paper "Descriptions: Frege and Russell Combined" (*Synthese*, 130, pp. 213-226, 2002) as 'the *Synthese* paper'. Although I tried to follow the formatting instructions from *Manuscrito* for the bibliographical references there are some deviations from the norm – as is apparent from what I just said.

At the end of the volume I added a small list of errata for my book. It consists of simple mistakes that escaped my proofreading. There are more substantial corrections that I would make in a thorough revision of the book. Although this is not the place to do it, I refer as an example to the remarks about Tarski in p. 180 of my reply to John Corcoran.

For the benefit of people who are foreign to Brazilian ways I should explain that the (almost) universal system of calling people by their last name does not work here, and that we use first names, last names and nicknames in such a way that many people who are called by their first name are *never* called by their last name, and vice-versa. Thus, nobody in Brazil calls former president Fernando Henrique Cardoso by his last name 'Cardoso' or current president Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva by his last name 'da Silva' – if one wants to be formal one uses the full name, as I just did. For this reason I could not get myself to address Jairo José da Silva as 'da Silva' or Luiz Carlos Pereira as 'Pereira'. Since there are no contributors whom I could not address by their first name, my solution for the dilemma was to address everybody by their first name.

Manuscrito - Rev. Int. Fil., Campinas, v. 27, n. 1, p. 9-11, jan.-jun. 2004.

I want to thank my wife Siri Chateaubriand for going over my texts with an iron hand and red-marking the far too many places where I repeated myself, used convoluted sentences, showed undue emotion, etc. (I did manage to sneak back in a fair number of infelicitous constructions, however.)

It gave me a lot of pleasure to read the papers and to write my replies to them, and I am grateful to Michael for having initiated the project that gave me this opportunity. As a very small token of my appreciation for this, as well as for him as a friend, a colleague and a gentleman, I dedicate my participation in this volume to his memory.